arXiv:1610.01311v1 [math.NT] 5 Oct 2016

On the Schertz conjecture

Ja Kyung Koo and Dong Sung Yoon*

Abstract

Schertz conjectured that every finite abelian extension of imaginary quadratic fields can be generated by the norm of the Siegel-Ramachandra invariants. We shall present a conditional proof of his conjecture.

1 Introduction

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field, $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nonzero integral ideal of K and $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ be the ray class group of K modulo $\mathfrak{f}$. Then there exists a unique abelian extension $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ of K whose Galois group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ via the Artin map

$$\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}} : \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/K), \tag{1.1}$$

which is called the *ray class field* of K modulo $\mathfrak{f}$. By class field theory any abelian extension of K is contained in some ray class field $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$, and hence it is important to construct the ray class fields of K to figure out the maximal abelian extension of K.

In 1964, Ramachandra ([10, Theorem 10]) constructed a primitive generator of $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ over K in terms of certain elliptic unit and showed that arbitrary finite abelian extension of K can be generated by the norm of this unit. However, his unit involves too complicated products of singular values of the Klein forms and the discriminant Δ-function to use in practice. On the other hand, Schertz ([11, Theorem 6.8.4]) constructed a relatively simple ray class invariant over K by means of the singular value of certain Siegel function, namely, Siegel-Ramachandra invariant. And, he further conjectured that every finite abelian extension of K can be generated by the norm of the Siegel-Ramachandra invariant ([11, Conjecture 6.8.3]) as follows:

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R37 (primary), 11G16 (secondary).

Key words and phrases. class field theory, modular units

*Corresponding author.

CONJECTURE 1.1. *Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nonzero proper integral ideal and let L be a finite abelian extension of K such that $K \subset L \subset K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. Then for every positive integer n and $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$*

$$L = K(N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n)),$$

where $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ is the Siegel-Ramachandra invariant of conductor $\mathfrak{f}$ at C defined in (2.1).

In this paper we shall give a conditional proof of the conjecture with certain assumption which depends only on the extension degree $[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : L]$ (Theorem 2.6 and Example 2.7).

NOTATION 1.2. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we denote by $\overline{z}$ the complex conjugate of z. If G is a group and $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r$ are elements of G, let $\langle g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r \rangle$ be the subgroup of G generated by $g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_r$. Moreover, if H is a subgroup of G and $g \in G$, we mean by $[g]$ the coset gH of H in G. For a number field K, let $\mathcal{O}_K$ be the ring of integers of K. If $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$, we denote by (a) the principal ideal of K generated by a. And, let $\zeta_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$ be a primitive N-th root of unity for a positive integer N.

2 Main Theorem

For a rational vector $\mathbf{r} = \begin{bmatrix} r_1 \\ r_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{Q}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$, we define the *Siegel function* $g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)$ on the complex upper half plane $\mathbb{H}$ by the following infinite product

$$g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau) = -q^{\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{B}_2(r_1)} e^{\pi i r_2(r_1-1)}(1 - q^{r_1}e^{2\pi i r_2}) \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1 - q^{n+r_1}e^{2\pi i r_2})(1 - q^{n-r_1}e^{-2\pi i r_2}),$$

where $\mathbf{B}_2(X) = X^2 - X + 1/6$ is the second Bernoulli polynomial and $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Then a Siegel function is a modular unit, namely, it is a modular function whose zeros and poles are supported only at the cusps ([13] or [6, p.36]). In particular, if $\mathbf{r} \in (1/N)\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \mathbb{Z}^2$ with an integer $N \geq 2$ then the function $g_{\mathbf{r}}(\tau)^{12N}$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}_N$ ([5, Proposition 1.1]), where $\mathcal{F}_N$ is the field of meromorphic modular functions for the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(N)$ whose Fourier coefficients lie in the Nth cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_N)$.

Let K be an imaginary quadratic field of discriminant d_K, $\mathfrak{f}$ be a nontrivial proper integral ideal of K and N be the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{f}$. For $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$, we take any integral ideal $\mathfrak{c}$ in C and choose a basis $[\omega_1, \omega_2]$ of $\mathfrak{f}\mathfrak{c}^{-1}$ such that $\omega_1/\omega_2 \in \mathbb{H}$. Then one can write

$$N = r_1\omega_1 + r_2\omega_2$$

for some $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. We define the *Siegel-Ramachandra invariant* of conductor $\mathfrak{f}$ at C by

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C) = g_{\begin{bmatrix} r_1/N \\ r_2/N \end{bmatrix}}(\omega_1/\omega_2)^{12N}. \tag{2.1}$$

This value depends only on the class C and $\mathfrak{f}$, not on the choice of $\mathfrak{c}$.

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let $C, C' \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\mathfrak{f} \neq \mathcal{O}_K$.*

(i) *$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ belongs to $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$ as an algebraic integer. If N is composite, $g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)$ is a unit in $K_{\mathfrak{f}}$.*

(ii) *We have the transformation formula*

$$g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C')} = g_{\mathfrak{f}}(CC'),$$

where $\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}$ is the Artin map stated in (1.1).

PROOF. [7, Chapter 19, Theorem 3] and [6, Chapter 11, Theorem 1.2]. □

Let χ be a nontrivial character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\mathfrak{f} \neq \mathcal{O}_K$, $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ be a conductor of χ and χ_0 be the primitive character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$ corresponding to χ. The *Stickelberger element* and the *L-function* for χ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\chi) &= \sum_{C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})} \chi(C) \log |g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)|, \\ L_{\mathfrak{f}}(s, \chi) &= \sum_{\substack{(0) \neq \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathcal{O}_K \\ \gcd(\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{f}) = 1}} \frac{\chi(\mathfrak{a})}{\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})^s} \quad (s \in \mathbb{C}), \end{aligned}$$

respectively, where $\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{a})$ is the absolute norm of an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. The second Kronecker limit formula describes the relation between the Stickelberger element and the L-function as follows:

PROPOSITION 2.2. *Let χ be a nontrivial character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ with $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \neq \mathcal{O}_K$. Then we have*

$$L_{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}(1, \chi_0) \prod_{\substack{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{f} \\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{f}_\chi}} (1 - \overline{\chi_0}([\mathfrak{p}])) = -\frac{2\pi \chi_0([\gamma \mathfrak{d}_K \mathfrak{f}_\chi])}{6N(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)\omega(\mathfrak{f}_\chi) T_\gamma(\overline{\chi_0}) \sqrt{-d_K}} \cdot S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}),$$

where $\mathfrak{d}_K$ is the different of $K/\mathbb{Q}$, γ is an element of K such that $\gamma \mathfrak{d}_K \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ is an integral ideal of K prime to $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$, $N(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$ is the smallest positive integer in $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$, $\omega(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$ is the number of roots of unity in K which are $\equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}$ and

$$T_\gamma(\overline{\chi_0}) = \sum_{x + \mathfrak{f}_\chi \in (\mathcal{O}_K / \mathfrak{f}_\chi)^\times} \overline{\chi_0}([x\mathcal{O}_K]) e^{2\pi i \mathrm{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma x)}.$$

PROOF. [6, Chapter 11 §2, LF 2]. □

REMARK 2.3. Since χ_0 is a nontrivial primitive character of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}_\chi)$, both $L_{\mathfrak{f}_\chi}(1, \chi_0)$ and the Gauss sum $T_\gamma(\overline{\chi}_0)$ are nonzero ([4, Chapter V, Theorem 10.2], [7, Chapter 22 §1, G 3]). If every prime ideal factor of $\mathfrak{f}$ divides $\mathfrak{f}_\chi$ then we understand the Euler factor $\prod_{\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{f},\ \mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{f}_\chi} (1 - \overline{\chi_0}([\psi]))$ to be 1, and hence we conclude $S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}) \neq 0$.

Now, we define the quotient group $\mathbf{G}$ by

$$\mathbf{G} = (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times/\{\alpha+\mathfrak{f} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}.$$

Then one can view $\mathbf{G}$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ via the natural homomorphism

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{f})^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \\ \alpha+\mathfrak{f} & \longmapsto & [(\alpha)]. \end{array}$$

Let $\mathfrak{f} = \prod_{\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}}$ be a prime ideal factorization of $\mathfrak{f}$. For each prime ideal $\mathfrak{p}$, we set

$$\mathbf{G}_\mathfrak{p} = (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}})^\times/\{\alpha+\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_\mathfrak{p}})^\times \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^\times\}$$

with p a rational prime lying under $\mathfrak{p}$. For an intermediate field L of the extension $K_\mathfrak{f}/K$, we denote by $\mathrm{Cl}(K_\mathfrak{f}/L)$ the subgroup of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ corresponding to $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\mathfrak{f}/L)$ via the Artin map (1.1).

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $H \subset G$ be two finite abelian groups, $g \in G \setminus H$ and n be the order of the coset $[g]$ in G/H. Then for any character χ of H, we can extend it to a character ψ of G such that $\psi(g)$ is any fixed n-th root of $\chi(g^n)$.*

PROOF. [12, Chapter VI, Proposition 1]. □

LEMMA 2.5. *The Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_\mathfrak{p}$ is a direct product of $n_\mathfrak{p}$ cyclic groups, where $n_\mathfrak{p}$ is defined as follows:*

***Case 1** : $p \neq 2$ or p is unramified in K*

$$n_\mathfrak{p} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } p=2,\ -d \equiv 5 \pmod 8 \text{ and } e_\mathfrak{p} > 2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

***Case 2** : $K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, $p = 2$ and p is ramified in K*

$$n_\mathfrak{p} = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } -d \equiv 7 \pmod 8 \text{ and } e_\mathfrak{p} > 4 \\ 2 & \text{if } -d \not\equiv 7 \pmod 8 \text{ and } e_\mathfrak{p} > 4 \\ 2 & \text{if } -d \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \text{ and } e_\mathfrak{p} = 4 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

***Case 3** : $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $p = 2$*

$$n_\mathfrak{p} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } e_\mathfrak{p} > 4 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be the residual degree of $\mathfrak{p}$ over p. It is well-known that

$$(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\times} \cong \mathbb{Z}/(p^{f_{\mathfrak{p}}}-1)\mathbb{Z} \times \prod_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} (\mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z})^{a_i}$$

for certain non-negative integers a_i for which $\sum_{i=1}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1} ia_i = (e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1)f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ([1, Proposition 4.2.12]). Hence if p is an odd prime, then the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ becomes a cyclic group.

On the other hand, if p splits completely in K, then the map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \\ a+p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z} & \longmapsto & a+\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \quad \text{for } a \in \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

is a ring isomorphism. Here we observe that the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z})^{\times}$ is a cyclic group except the case where $p=2$ and $e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 2$ ([9, Theorem 9]). When $p=2$ and $e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 2$, we achieve

$$(\mathbb{Z}/2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \cong \langle -1+2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z}\rangle \times \langle 3+2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z}\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-2}\mathbb{Z}$$

and $\{\alpha+\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\times} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}\} = \langle -1+\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\rangle$. Thus, $\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a cyclic group in this case.

If p is inert in K, then $\mathfrak{p} = p\mathcal{O}_K$. Let θ be an element of K such that $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\theta]$, $g(X) = \min(\theta, \mathbb{Q})$ and $\overline{g}(X)$ be its reduction in $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z})[X]$. Then $\overline{g}(X)$ is irreducible in $(\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z})[X]$ because $f_{\mathfrak{p}} = 2 = \deg(g(X))$ ([8, Proposition III 4.3]). Since $\mathcal{O}_K \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(g(X))$, we deduce

$$\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \cong \mathbb{Z}[X]/(p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}, g(X)) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathbb{Z})[X]/(\overline{g}(X))$$

which is a Galois ring $\mathrm{GR}(p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}, p^{2e_{\mathfrak{p}}})$ of characteristic $p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ consisting of $p^{2e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ elements. It then follows from [9, Theorem 9] that

$$\mathrm{GR}(p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}, p^{2e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\times} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-2}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } p=2 \text{ and } e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 2 \\ \mathbb{Z}/(p^2-1)\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1}\mathbb{Z} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that if $p=2$, then $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$-part of $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\times}$ is generated by $-1+\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Hence the Sylow 2-subgroup $\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a direct product of two cyclic groups if $p=2$ and $e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 2$, or a cyclic group otherwise.

Now consider the case where $p=2$ and p is ramified in K, namely, $-d \equiv 2, 3 \pmod 4$. If $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 4$, then we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\times} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1}\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } e_{\mathfrak{p}} < 4 \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } e_{\mathfrak{p}} = 4 \end{cases}$$

([1, Proposition 4.2.12]). Further, if $e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 4$, then the group $(\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\times}$ is the direct product of three cyclic groups whose orders are power of 2 ([2, p.245]). And, for $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 4$ we claim that

$$\left(\begin{array}{l} X^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}} \\ \text{has a solution in } \mathcal{O}_K \end{array} \right) \Longleftrightarrow \left(\begin{array}{l} -d \equiv 3 \pmod 4 \text{ (when } e_{\mathfrak{p}} = 4) \\ -d \equiv 7 \pmod 8 \text{ (when } e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 4) \end{array} \right).$$

Indeed, if $X = a + b\sqrt{-d} \in \mathcal{O}_K$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a solution of the above equation, then we get

$$a^2 - b^2 d + 2ab\sqrt{-d} \equiv -1 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}}.$$

Here we note that b must be odd and $-d \equiv 3 \pmod 4$ because $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 4$. If $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is even, then $\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} = 2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}/2}\mathcal{O}_K$ and

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 - b^2 d &\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}/2}} \\ 2ab &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we achieve $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}/2-1}}$ and $b^2 d \equiv 1 \pmod{2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}/2}}$, which implies d is a quadratic residue modulo $2^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}/2}$. Now suppose that $e_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is odd. Since

$$\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} = 2^{(e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1)/2}(2, 1 + \sqrt{-d}),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a^2 - 2ab - b^2 d &\equiv -1 \pmod{2^{(e_{\mathfrak{p}}+1)/2}} \\ 2ab &\equiv 0 \pmod{2^{(e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1)/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $a \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{(e_{\mathfrak{p}}-3)/2}}$. If $e_{\mathfrak{p}} = 5$ then $a^2 - 2ab \equiv 2a(a/2 - b) \equiv 0 \pmod 8$, which yields $b^2 d \equiv 1 \pmod 8$. If $e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 5$, then $a^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{(e_{\mathfrak{p}}+1)/2}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} b^2 d \equiv 1 - 2ab &\equiv 1 \text{ or } 1 + 2^{(e_{\mathfrak{p}}-1)/2} \pmod{2^{(e_{\mathfrak{p}}+1)/2}} \\ &\equiv 1 \pmod 8. \end{aligned}$$

Since an odd integer n is a quadratic residue modulo $2^{\lceil e_{\mathfrak{p}}/2 \rceil}$ if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ (when $e_{\mathfrak{p}} = 4$) or $n \equiv 1 \pmod 8$ (when $e_{\mathfrak{p}} > 4$), the claim is proved ([3, Proposition 5.1.1]). Here, $\lceil x \rceil$ stands for the smallest integer that is not less than $x \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, if $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$ and $e_{\mathfrak{p}} \geq 4$, then the equation $X^2 \equiv \sqrt{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}}$ has no solution in $\mathcal{O}_K$. Therefore, the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is the direct product of $n_{\mathfrak{p}}$ cyclic groups because

$$\{\alpha + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \in (\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}})^{\times} \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^{\times}\} = \begin{cases} \langle -1 + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rangle & \text{if } K \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}) \\ \langle \sqrt{-1} + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rangle & \text{if } K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}). \end{cases}$$

□

THEOREM 2.6. *With the notations as above, let L be a finite abelian extension of K such that $K \subset L \subset K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. We assume that for each prime ideal factor $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{f}$ there is a rational prime $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ satisfying $\mathrm{ord}_{\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}}(|\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}|) > \mathrm{ord}_{\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}}\big([K_{\mathfrak{f}} : L]\big) + i_{\mathfrak{p}}$ where*

$$i_{\mathfrak{p}} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 2 \\ n_{\mathfrak{p}} & \text{if } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}} = 2. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any positive integer n and $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ the singular value

$$N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n)$$

generates L over K.

PROOF. Let $L' = K\big(N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)\big)$, where C_0 is the unit class in $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$. On the contrary, suppose $L' \subsetneq L$. Then we claim that there exists a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfying $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)} = 1$, $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L')} \neq 1$ and $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ for every prime ideal factor $\mathfrak{p}$ of $\mathfrak{f}$. Indeed, one can choose a class D in $\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L')\backslash\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)$ and a character χ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfying $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)} = 1$ and $\chi(D) \neq 1$ by Lemma 2.4. For each $\mathfrak{p}$, we define a map $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ by

$$\begin{array}{rccc} \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}} : & \mathbf{G} & \to & \mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{p}} \\ & [\alpha + \mathfrak{f}] & \longmapsto & [\alpha + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}]. \end{array}$$

Then $\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a well-defined homomorphism. Now suppose that $\mathfrak{p} \nmid \mathfrak{f}_\chi$ for some $\mathfrak{p}$. By the hypothesis and Lemma 2.5, one can find an element $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ for which the order of the class $[\beta+\mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}]$ in $\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (when $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 2$) or 4 (when $\nu_{\mathfrak{p}} = 2$), and $[\beta+\mathfrak{p}] \notin \mathrm{Im}(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)\cap\mathbf{G}})$. Thus we see from Lemma 2.4 that there exists a character ψ of $\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in such a way that ψ is trivial on $\mathrm{Im}(\varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)\cap\mathbf{G}})$ and

$$\psi([\beta + \mathfrak{p}^{e_{\mathfrak{p}}}]) = \begin{cases} \zeta_{\nu_{\mathfrak{p}}} & \text{if } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}} \neq 2 \\ \zeta_4 & \text{if } \nu_{\mathfrak{p}} = 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $\psi' = \psi \circ \varphi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a character of $\mathbf{G}$. Then it is possible for us to extend ψ' to a character ψ'' of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ such that $\psi''|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)} = 1$ by Lemma 2.4. So we define a character $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ by

$$\psi_{\mathfrak{p}} = \begin{cases} \psi'' & \text{if } \chi(D)\psi''(D) \neq 1 \\ (\psi'')^2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here we note that $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a nontrivial character whose conductor is solely divisible by $\mathfrak{p}$. Hence the character $\chi\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$ satisfies $\chi\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)} = 1$, $\chi\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}(D) \neq 1$, $\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}}$ and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \mid \mathfrak{f}_{\chi\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}}$. Now, we replace χ by $\chi\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$. By continuing this process for every $\mathfrak{p}$, we get the claim.

Since χ is nontrivial and $\mathfrak{f}_\chi \neq \mathcal{O}_K$, we derive $S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}) \neq 0$ by Proposition 2.2. On the other hand, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\mathfrak{f}}(\overline{\chi}) &= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{E\in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})} \overline{\chi}(E)\log\left|g_{\mathfrak{f}}(E)^n\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{E\in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})} \overline{\chi}(E)\log\left|(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(E)}\right| \quad \text{(by Proposition 2.1)} \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{\substack{E_1\in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}) \\ E_1 \bmod \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L')}} \sum_{\substack{E_2\in \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L') \\ E_2 \bmod \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)}} \sum_{E_3\in \mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)} \overline{\chi}(E_1E_2E_3)\log\left|(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(E_1E_2E_3)}\right| \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{E_1}\overline{\chi}(E_1)\sum_{E_2}\overline{\chi}(E_2)\log\left|N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(E_1)\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(E_2)}\right| \quad \text{since } \chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L)} = 1 \\
&= \frac{1}{n}\sum_{E_1}\overline{\chi}(E_1)\log\left|N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(E_1)}\right|\Big(\sum_{E_2}\overline{\chi}(E_2)\Big) \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

because $N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n) \in L'$ and $\chi|_{\mathrm{Cl}(K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L')} \neq 1$. This is a contradiction, and so $L' = L$.

Since L' is an abelian extension of K and

$$N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C_0)^n)^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)} = N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n) \quad \text{for } C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f}),$$

we conclude that $L = L' = K(N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n))$ as desired. □

Example 2.7. Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-7})$ and $\mathfrak{f} = 5\mathcal{O}_K$. Since 5 is inert in K, $\mathfrak{f}$ is a prime ideal of K. Let L be a finite abelian extension of K such that $K \subsetneq L \subset K_{\mathfrak{f}}$. Observe that $[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : K] = 12 = |\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{f}}|$. Hence $[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : L] = 1, 2, 3, 4$ or 6. Suppose that $[K_{\mathfrak{f}} : L] = 1, 2, 3$ or 4. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ord}_3(|\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{f}}|) &> \mathrm{ord}_3\big([K_{\mathfrak{f}} : L]\big) && \text{if } [K_{\mathfrak{f}} : L] = 1, 2, 4, \\
\mathrm{ord}_2(|\mathbf{G}_{\mathfrak{f}}|) &> \mathrm{ord}_2\big([K_{\mathfrak{f}} : L]\big) + 1 && \text{if } [K_{\mathfrak{f}} : L] = 3.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we see from Theorem 2.6 that

$$L = K(N_{K_{\mathfrak{f}}/L}(g_{\mathfrak{f}}(C)^n))$$

for any positive integer n and $C \in \mathrm{Cl}(\mathfrak{f})$.

References

[1] H. Cohen, *Advanced Topics in Computational Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 193. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[2] H. Hasse, *Number Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.

[3] K. Ireland and M. Rosen, *A Classical Introduction to Modern Number Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 84. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.

[4] G. J. Janusz, *Algebraic Number Fields*, 2nd ed., Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 7. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1996.

[5] H. Y. Jung, J. K. Koo and D. H. Shin, *Ray class invariants over imaginary quadratic fields*, Tohoku Math. J., 63 (2011), 413–426.

[6] D. Kubert and S. Lang, *Modular Units*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 244, Spinger-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1981.

[7] S. Lang, *Elliptic Functions*, 2nd ed., Spinger-Verlag, New York, 1987.

[8] D. Lorenzini, *An Invitation to Arithmetic Geometry*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 9. American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1996.

[9] R. Raghavendran, *Finite associative rings*, Compositio Math. 21 (1969), 195–229.

[10] K. Ramachandra, *Some applications of Kronecker's limit formulas*, Ann. Math. (2) 80 (1964), 104–148.

[11] R. Schertz, *Complex multiplication*, New Math. Monographs 15, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.

[12] J. -P. Serre, *A Course in Arithmetic*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 7. Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1973.

[13] C. L. Siegel, *Lectures on advanced analytic number theory*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, 23, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay, 1965.

Ja Kyung Koo
Department of Mathematical Sciences
KAIST
Daejeon 34141
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: jkkoo@math.kaist.ac.kr

Dong Sung Yoon
Department of Mathematical Sciences
KAIST
Daejeon 34141
Republic of Korea

E-mail address: math_dsyoon@kaist.ac.kr